

REMARKS

Entry of this Amendment pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.312 in response to observations made by the Examiner in Paper No. 20050121 (a final Office action dated on the 26th of January 2005), in response to the subsequent withdrawal of all art rejections, and in response to the absence of any statement from the Examiner in the nature of “reasons for allowance.”

Status of the Claims

Claims 1 through 24 remain pending. By this Amendment, claim 19 is amended to assure correspondence and antecedent basis in one instance. This Amendment does not propose any other change any of the allowed claims 1 through 24. Dependent claims 25 through 27 are added in order to round out the scope of coverage to which Applicant is entitled. Entry of the amendment to claim 19 and newly presented dependent claims 25 through 27 are respectfully requested.

Dependent Claims 25-27

Claims 25, 26 and 27, depending respectively upon independent claims 1, 11 and 19, define Applicant's “control part” and process in terms of “*upon the completion of*” the storing, or recording, of the television program signals.

Brusky U.S. 6.285.406 and Schein, et alii U.S. 6.388.714

With respect to the Brusky '406, the PC/TV device is actually two distinctive devices

combined into a single device having two distinctive modes (TV and PC) for carrying out two distinctive functions and thus, does not offer interoperability between the two devices.

Furthermore, the power management of the two devices is carried out independently. That is, for the computer device, the power management is carried out in a conventional manner to switch from the (1) full-on-state, (2) enabled state, (3) standby state, and (4) suspend state, in dependence upon the input activity of the user; whereas for the TV device, such conventional computer type power management is disabled (*i.e.*, always fully on unless the user should happen to turn off the TV).

Accordingly, Brusky '406 teaches away from the modification of the Schein *et al.* '714, to:

1. Integrate the power management of computer system having capability to record television signals, and
2. Carry-out (enable) the power management functionality of the computer system having integrated television recording function.

Furthermore, given the independent nature of the PC/TV device and the corresponding distinctive mode as explained in Brusky '406, the stated programmed VCR recording or TV program guide download event is directed to the powering-on of the TV device within the PC/TV since the VCR recording is a function which is within the realm of the TV device. Thus, even if combined, the stated "...automatically switching from the power saving mode to the normal mode..." of a computer system having integrated television signal recording function is not met.

Drawings

FIG. 2 is amended to delete the misplaced NO formerly interposed between the box indicating Step S90 and the junction between Steps S100 and S150.

FIG. 2 is also amended to replace the rather awkward legend of Step S90 with "Continue operation."

In addition, the drawing correction proposed on 5 April 2001 has been incorporated in FIG. 2. FIG. 6 is presented with these modifications of FIG. 2, and additionally with a clarification of the legend of Step S202, in lieu of the legend given in FIG. 2 for Step S200.

Specification

The specification is amended to conform to the addition of FIG. 6. In addition, one typographical error is being corrected in paragraph [0052].

Fees Incurred

A fee of \$150.00 is incurred by the addition of three (3) dependent claims in excess of a total 24. Applicant's check drawn to the order of Commissioner accompanies this Amendment. Should the check become lost, be deficient in payment, or should other fees be incurred, the Commissioner is authorized to charge Deposit Account No. 02-4943 of Applicant's undersigned attorney in the amount of such fees.

Conclusion

In view of the above, it is submitted that claims 1 through 24 remain allowed, and newly added claims 25 through 27, all of which depend upon allowed independent claims, are allowable, and the application remains in condition for allowance. The foregoing amendment addresses various formalities, and entry of these amendments does not necessitate either further consideration, any additional search, or a re-opening of the examination. Should any questions remain unresolved, the Examiner is requested to telephone Applicant's attorney.

Respectfully submitted,



Robert E. Bushnell,
Attorney for the Applicant
Registration No.: 27,774

1522 "K" Street N.W., Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 408-9040

Folio: P56355
Date: 4/29/05
I.D.: REB/kf/fw